

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,028	03/11/2004	Ernest Aranyi	2891	6009
Lisa J. Moyles	7590 01/05/200	EXAMINER		
U.S. Surgical, a	division of TYCO	SONNETT, KATHLEEN C		
Healthcare Gro 150 Glover Av			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Norwalk, CT 0	6856		3731	
•				
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/798,028	ARANYI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kathleen Sonnett	3731 <sup>°</sup>	

•	Kathleen Sonnett	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	e of Appeal. To avoid aba , affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fater than SIX MONTHS from the mater than SIX CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		) 1 126(a) and the approprie	to oxtonsion for
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoustices to the statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	hut wing to the date of films o b	riaf will mat be entered b	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materiall	y reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11, 21, and 23-25</u> .			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing d sufficient reasons why the aff	a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> idavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	- glend	
		GLENN K. DAWSO PRIMARY EXAMIN	DN ER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner has maintained the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 1-11 and 21-25 presented in the office action dated 9/27/2006. In particular, applicant argues that criticality of the claimed range for the radius of curvature of the curved jaws on the clip applying apparatus has been disclosed in the specification of this application. In particular, applicant argues that the radius of curvature is disclosed as providing at least one advantage and references paragraph [0035] of the instant application which states that the curved jaws provide the advantage of simplifying access to tissue. Although this passage points out the advantage of curved jaws, it does not disclose any advantage of curved jaws having a radius of curvature within the claimed range over a curved jaw having a radius of curvature falling outside of the claimed range of about 0.5 to about 0.9 inches. Therefore, the criticality of the claimed range has not been disclosed. The advantages of curved jaws are well known in the art: to increase visibility and improve handling (for example, see col. 4 II. 47-50 of Jarvik, U.S. 4,412,539).